

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/457,189	12/08/1999	JULIA HIRSHBERG	1999-0368B	7396
759	90 05/22/2002			
SAMUEL H DWORETSKY			EXAMINER	
AT & T CORP PO BOX 4110			ANWAH, OLISA	
MIDDLETOWM, NJ 077484110				
WIIDDEETOWT	11, 113 077 10 1110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2645	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		•	λh				
		09/457,189 Examiner	HIRSHBERG ET AL.				
	,		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Olisa Anwah ears on the cover sheet with the c	2645 correspondence address				
Period fo			•				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a)[_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under						
·	on of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
· <u> </u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		miner.				
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) 🔲 🏻	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
	The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment							
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Te	adamad. Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/457,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 102(e) as being anticipated by Epstein et al. U.S. Patent No. 6327343 (hereinafter Epstein).

Regarding claim 1, Epstein discloses a system comprising:

- a transcription component (transcriber 32) for transcribing one or more voicemail messages into text (col. 5, lines 14-17);
- a text retrieval component (indexer/prioritizer 34) for indexing the one or more transcribed voicemail messages (col. 13, lines 31-34);

Art Unit: 2645

an information extraction component (ASR/NLU 24) for identifying selected information within the one or more indexed voicemail messages (col. 5, lines 14-18); and

a user interface (GUI) for displaying the identified selected information from the one or more indexed voicemail messages (col. 14, lines 11-13).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, see col. 9, lines 50-55. Epstein discloses the user may program the system (col. 9, line 34). Hence the search mechanism is user configurable.

Regarding claim 4, Epstein discloses a message body screen (page) (col. 4, lines 1-3) and a main information screen (col. 14, lines 11-13).

Regarding claim 5, Epstein discloses a method comprising the steps of:

identifying information within the plurality of voicemail messages (col. 9, lines 50-55). Epstein discloses this can be done for more than one message (col. 9, lines 43-44); and

providing a user interface (GUI) to a user for access to information identified in the plurality of voicemail messages (col. 14, lines 11-13), wherein the information is identified using entity extraction and summarization techniques (col. 13, lines 33-36).

Regarding claim 6, see col. 5, lines 57-59.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 7, Epstein discloses a method of recording and storing audio files (col. 4, lines 66-67) and then entity extraction is performed on the stored audio files (col. 5, lines 15-18).

Regarding claim 8, Epstein discloses a method comprising the steps of:

receiving the plurality of voicemail messages as raw audio (col. 4, lines 66-67);

transcribing the plurality of voicemail messages into text (col. 5, lines 16-17);

indexing the text of the plurality of voicemail messages (col. 13, lines 33-34); and

extracting information from the text of plurality of voicemail messages, wherein the information extracted provides the user with a summary of the information contained within each voicemail message (col. 13, lines 33-37);

Regarding claim 9, Epstein discloses a system comprising:

means for transcribing a plurality of voicemail messages

(voice data) into searchable text (col. 5, lines 15-17). Epstein discloses the voice data comprises of recordings from a plurality of messages retrieved from voice mail or answering machines (col. 4, lines 66-67);

Application/Control Number: 09/457,189 Page 5

Art Unit: 2645

means for searching for text within the plurality of voicemail messages (col. 5, lines 17-18);

Regarding claim 10, see col. 5, lines 57-59.

Regarding claim 16, Epstein discloses a system comprising:

a transcript (page) of a plurality of voicemail messages which is generated by automatic speech recognition (col. 4, lines 1-2);

a textual display of the transcript of the plurality of voicemail messages (col. 4, lines 1-3); and

a search mechanism for searching for text within the plurality of voicemail messages (col. 5, lines 16-17);

Regarding claim 17, see col. 13, lines 33-34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein in view of Hon et al, U.S. Patent

Art Unit: 2645

Application Publication No. US/2001/0044724 A1 (hereinafter Hon).

Regarding claim 12, Epstein discloses a method comprising the steps of:

generating by automatic speech recognition, a transcript (readable text) of at least one voice mail message (col. 5, lines 15-16); and

providing a search mechanism for searching for text within the at least one voicemail message (col. 5, lines 50-55);

Epstein does not disclose a method of providing for speech playback of selected text within the voicemail message.

However Hon discloses a method of providing for speech playback of selected text (page 2, paragraph 0011).

Again Epstein allows a method comprising the steps of:
generating by automatic speech recognition, a transcript of at
least one voicemail message and providing a search mechanism for
searching for text within the at least one voicemail message.
Hon allows a method of providing for speech playback of selected
text. Allowing for speech playback of selected text is well
known in the art because a user can simply select a desired
portion of a message to playback as opposed to playing back the
entire message. Therefore it would have been obvious to one
skilled in the art to modify Epstein with a method of providing

Art Unit: 2645

for speech playback of selected text within the voicemail message as taught by Hon. This modification allows a user to simply select a desired portion of a message to playback as opposed to playing back the entire message.

Regarding claim 13, see Epstein, col. 5, lines 57-59.

Regarding claim 14, see Epstein, col. 14, lines 11-13.

Regarding claim 15, see Epstein, col. 13, lines 33-34.

5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein in view of Bobo II, U.S. Patent No. 6350066 (hereinafter Bobo).

Regarding claim 18, Epstein as applied in claim 16 does not disclose a search results display for displaying the results of a user initiated search.

However Bobo discloses a user interface comprising a search results display for displaying the results of a user initiated search (see Figure 21).

Again Epstein allows a transcript of a plurality of voice messages that is generated by automatic speech recognition, a textual display of the transcript of the plurality of voicemail messages and a search mechanism for searching for text within the plurality of voicemail messages. Bobo allows a user interface comprising a search results display for displaying the results of a user initiated search. Allowing for a search

Art Unit: 2645

results display for displaying the results of a user initiated search is well known in the art because it allows the user to easily differentiate search results from the actual message.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bobo with a user interface comprising a search results display for displaying the results of a user initiated search. This modification allows the user to easily differentiate search results from the actual message.

6. Claims 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein in view of Greco et al, U.S. Patent No. 5568540 (hereinafter Greco).

Regarding claim 18, Epstein as applied in claim 16 does not disclose a header information screen which summarizes each of the plurality of voicemail messages.

However Greco discloses a header information screen which summarizes each of the plurality of voicemail messages (see Figure 2).

Again Epstein allows a transcript of a plurality of voice messages that is generated by automatic speech recognition, a textual display of the transcript of the plurality of voicemail messages and a search mechanism for searching for text within the plurality of voicemail messages. Greco allows a header information screen which summarizes each of the plurality of

Art Unit: 2645

voicemail messages. Allowing for a header information screen which summarizes each of the plurality of voicemail messages is well known in the art because it allows a user to simply glance at the screen in order to obtain specific information about a voice message as opposed to having to play the entire message. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Epstein with a header information screen which summarizes each of the plurality of voicemail messages as taught by Greco. This modification allows a user to obtain specific information concerning a voice message by simply glancing at the header information screen.

Regarding claim 11, Epstein as applied in claim 9 does not disclose means for displaying the plurality of voicemail messages on a computer screen.

However Greco discloses means for displaying the plurality of voicemail messages on computer screen (see Figure 2).

Again Epstein allows means for transcribing a plurality of voicemail messages into searchable text, and means for searching for text within the plurality of voicemail messages. Greco allows for means displaying the plurality of voicemail messages on a computer screen. Displaying the plurality of voicemail messages on a computer screen is well known in the art because it allows a user to view a plurality of voicemail messages all

Application/Control Number: 09/457,189 Page 10

Art Unit: 2645

at once and then select one voice messages as opposed to sequentially going through all voice mail messages one message at a time. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Epstein with a means of displaying the plurality of voicemail messages on a computer screen as taught by Greco. This modification allows a user to view a plurality of voice messages then select one message from the plurality of voice messages.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 703-305-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5403 for regular communications and 703-308-5403 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 2645

0. A Olisa Anwah Patent Examiner May 10, 2002

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

FAN TSANG

Page 11